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Massive Transfusion: Definition and Incidence in Trauma Patients

In trauma, massive transfusion is defined in several different ways. Most commonly, definitions are based either on the replacement of a fraction of the patient’s blood volume within a given time period or on the transfusion of a given number of red blood cells (RBC) within a given time period. Definitions based on patient blood volume stipulate either the replacement of one blood volume in 24 hours or the replacement of 50% of one blood volume within three hours. Definitions based on the absolute number of transfused RBC stipulate either the transfusion of 10 units or more of RBC in 24 hours or the transfusion of 20 units of RBC or more of RBC in the course of the hospital admission. Other studies have used operational definitions such as the transfusion of 10 units or more of RBC from the time of admission to the hospital trauma bay to the time of admission to the intensive care unit.

Most trauma patients who are transported to the hospital emergency center do not require massive transfusion. There is a range of reported incidence of trauma patients requiring this intervention. At the lower end of this range, Como et al reported 2.6% and Malone et al reported 2.7%. At the higher end of this range, Huber-Wagner et al. reported an incidence of 13%.2

Pathophysiology of Trauma

Beyond their anatomic injuries, seriously injured trauma patients face several physiological hurdles. They suffer an initial hypoxic insult followed by reperfusion injury. The hemorrhage also leads to an acquired coagulopathy caused by the cumulative effects of hypovolemia, hypothermia and acidosis. These changes are interrelated, so it is necessary not only to analyze the effects of each component, but also to understand how these components interact with one another.

The initial anoxic injury, followed by reperfusion, results in a predictable clinical progression beginning with the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), followed by the compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome (CARS), and potentially culminating in multi-system organ failure (MOF).8 SIRS is a pro-inflammatory, prothrombotic response with activation of neutrophils, activation of complement and production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-alpha. Over several days SIRS progresses to CARS, characterized by significant shifts in the inflammatory milieu and coagulation profile. There is increased production of IL-4, IL-10, TGF-beta and endogenous corticosteroids. Although the exact pathophysiology of this progression has not been elucidated, the result is an immunocompromised patient who becomes susceptible to a wide array of opportunistic and nosocomial infections. These infections contribute to MOF.

Once the traumatic injury has taken place, hypovolemia, coagulation factor consumption, colloid and crystalloid infusion, hyperthermia and acidosis intervene against the SIRS backdrop and create a clinical picture characterized by diffuse thrombosis and concurrent fibrinolysis. This clinical picture has been called a “disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC)-like” state. Several elements contribute to the coagulopathy in this setting. In addition to the consumption, dilution and dysfunction of the serine proteases involved in clot formation, there is a decrease in platelet count, a deterioration in platelet function and an abnormal formation of platelet clumps in the hepatic sinusoids. One additional factor is the loss of red blood cells and their ability to modulate platelet behavior through hydrodynamic effects on platelet margination and the secretion of mediators such as cyclooxygenase and thromboxane A2.

Complications of Massive Transfusion

The administration of large volumes of blood components further complicates the clinical picture. These complications may be divided into those that are rate-related and those that are volume-related (Table 1). Each complication has a standard definition and a predictable clinical presentation. In general, rate-related complications are characterized by an approximate infusion rate, while volume-related complications are characterized by a triggering volume.

Rate-related complications occur because citrate is used as the anticoagulant during the collection procedure, the
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Massive transfusion protocols have been developed by the military and at trauma centers associated with academic institutions. These algorithms have several common components—a procedure for initial resuscitation, a procedure for transfusion therapy while hemorrhage is ongoing, a procedure for laboratory monitoring and, in some, guidelines for governing the timing and dosage of recombinant activated Factor VII in selected patients. The aims of these protocols include volume resuscitation and prevention and treatment of coagulopathy. Despite the common features and aims of these protocols, there is no consensus on optimal laboratory monitoring, the exact mix of components to be used, or the order of their administration. Nevertheless, a growing body of evidence suggests that in the population with high Injury Severity Scores, survival is associated with prevention or control of the coagulopathy. This control may be optimized by the earlier routine transfusion of fresh frozen plasma (FFP), plasma frozen within 24 hours of phlebotomy or thawed plasma, and platelets.14 (The literature does not indicate a difference between plasma products in the trauma setting.)

Despite the emerging importance of the control of coagulopathy as a clinical priority, there is no consistent literature on an appropriate trigger for a massive transfusion protocol. In general, the definition of massive transfusion itself has served as the trigger. Most investigators concentrate instead on the issues related to the selected ratio of blood components and to the timing of transfusion. Using a mathematical model that considered the rate of volume infusion and the loss of coagulation factors through bleeding, Ho et al. conservatively concluded that control of coagulation factor levels would necessitate a ratio of at least one unit of FFP for every RBC transfused, and that this ratio is probably even higher.15 Malone et al. reviewed the massive transfusion protocols at several institutions both within the United States and abroad and concluded that the ideal protocol should consist of FFP, RBC and platelets.17 These should be given in a ratio of 1:1:1 when random donor platelets are used. When apheresis platelets are used, FFP and RBC components should be given alternately until ten of each have been transfused; at this point a unit of apheresis platelets should be transfused. This proposed protocol may serve as the standard against which future modifications may be compared, but at this point there is no established standard.
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